

13. Robert L., Aloy-Prósper A., Arias-Herera S. Vertical augmentation of the atrophic posterior mandibular ridges with onlay grafts: Intraoral blocks vs. guided bone regeneration. Systematic review. J. Clin. Exp. Dent.

2023; 15(5): 357-365. doi: 10.4317/jced.60294.

14. Tsuchida S., Nakayama T. Recent Clinical Treatment and Basic Research on the Alveolar Bone. Biomedicines. 2023; 11(3): 843. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11030843.

15. Hameed M.H., Gul M, Ghafoor R [et al.]. Vertical Ridge Gain with Various Bone Augmentation Techniques: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J. Prosthodont. 2019; 28(4): 421-427. doi: 10.1111/jopr.13028.

DOI 10.25789/YMJ.2023.84.10

УДК 616.311:616.516-08

USMANOVA Irina Nikolaevna – MD, Professor, Department of Therapeutic Dentistry with a course of IDPP FGBOU VO BSMU of the Ministry of Health of Russia, Ufa, irinausma@mail.ru, SPIN - 1978-9470, ORCID:0000-0002-1781-029; **LAKMAN Aleksandrovna** – Candidate of Technical Sciences, Associate Professor, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Head of the Scientific Laboratory for the Study of Social and Economic Problems, Ufa University of Science and Technology, Lackmania@mail.ru SPIN - 4521-9097, ORCID:0000-0001-9876-9202; **LEBEDEVA Anna Ivanovna** – Doctor of Biology, Senior Researcher, Acting Head of the Research Department of Morphology of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, All-Russian Center for Eye and Plastic Surgery of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, jeol02@mail.ru, SPIN - 3707-3712, ORCID: 0000-0003-4580-9826; **GURYEVSKAYA Olga Anatolyevna** – postgraduate student of the Department of Therapeutic Dentistry, Ministry of Healthcare of Russia, Ufa, drguryevskaya@inbox.ru, SPIN - 5562-7134, ORCID:0000-0003-4580-9826; **VIKTOROVA Tatiana Viktorovna** – MD, Professor, Head of the Department of Biology, BSMU of the Ministry of Health RF, Senior Researcher of All-Russian Center for Eye and Plastic Surgery of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation, t_vict@mail.ru ORCID 0000-0001-8900-2480; **USHNITSKY Innokentiy Dmitrievich** – MD, Professor, Head of the Department of Therapeutic, Surgical, Orthopaedic Dentistry and Childhood Dentistry of the Medical Institute of M.K.Amosov North-Eastern Federal University, Yakutsk, incadim@mail.ru, SPIN 9424-3807 ORCID 0000-0002-4044-3004; **SENINA Valeriia Olegovna** – postgraduate student of the Department of Therapeutic Dentistry, assistant of the department of surgical dentistry, Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher Professional Education, BSMU, Ministry of Healthcare of Russia, Ufa, era.senina2012@yandex.ru SPIN - 7669-5320, ORCID:0000-0002-5593-085X; **USMANOVA Diana Irekovna** – second year student of the Pediatrics faculty BSMU of the Ministry of Health of Russia, Ufa, dianausmanova00@mail.ru, SPIN-code: 5268-9990, ORCID: 0009-0004-2387-3258; **SAFINA Guzel Irshatovna** – second year student of pediatrics faculty BSMU of the Ministry of Health of Russia, 3guzel.safin2017@yandex.ru, ORCID:0009-0007-3065-0708

I.N. Usmanova, I.A. Lakman, A.I. Lebedeva, O.A. Guryevskaya, T.V. Viktorova, I.D. Ushnitsky, V.O. Senina, D.I. Usmanova, G.I. Safina

ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECT OF LOCAL TREATMENT ON THE FREQUENCY OF CELLS WITH NUCLEAR ABNORMALITIES IN THE CYTOGRAM OF BUCCAL EPITHELIUM IN PATIENTS WITH AN EROSION AND ULCERATIVE FORM OF LICHEN PLANUS OF THE ORAL MUCOSA (PART 1)

Oral Lichen Planus (OLP) is a common chronic inflammatory disease with a high risk of malignization. Early diagnostic tests of erosive and ulcerative form (ECF) of the LP, timely pharmacotherapy are the key to success in the treatment of this form of dermatosis. The cytologic research method is one of the methods by means of which it is possible to obtain the results and adjust the scheme of medication symptomatic treatment in the future. **The aim of research** is to determine the effect of local treatment on the frequency of cells with nuclear abnormalities in the cytogram of buccal epithelium obtained from the reticular mesh area and from the surface of erosions in patients with the erosive and ulcerative form of the Lichen Planus in the oral cavity mucosa lining. **Materials and methods.** In the cytogram of buccal epithelium, cytogenetic indicators (micronucleus, tongue-type protrusion, and broken egg-type protrusion), indicators of nucleus destruction (karyopyknosis, karyorrhexis, karyolysis), and indicators of nuclear proliferation (notching) were assessed. **Results.** The developed method of local treatment of the erosive and ulcerative form of the Lichen Planus contributed to a more significant decrease in the frequency of cells with nuclear proliferation in the form of notches, compared to the group of patients treated according to clinical recommendations ($p=0.05$), and significantly decreased the frequency of cells with micronuclei ($p<0.01$), the frequency of degenerative change of the nucleus at $p<0.05$. Both methods of local treatment were effective, however, in clinical subgroups of patients with high titers of *Candida* spp. detected in the oral microbiota, the effect of local treatment in the form of ozone therapy, contributed to a decrease in the frequency of the cytogenetic indicator in the form of micronucleus protrusion (at $p<0.1$), nucleus notching (at $p<0.01$), the indicator of completion of nuclear destruction ($p<0.1$). Cytologic examination of the buccal epithelium is a non-invasive method that provides clear information about the status of the epithelial cells, in particular their DNA damage, the proliferative potential of basal cells and cell death, which are considered basic principles of cancer alertness.

Keywords: erosive and ulcerative form of Lichen Planus, buccal cytogram, ozone therapy, hyaluronic acid, corticosteroids, hyaluronic acid gel, Ora-Aid self-adhesive patch.

Introduction. The Lichen Planus (LP) involving the oral cavity mucosa lining (OCML) is a T-cell-mediated chronic inflammatory disease, with characteristic periods of relapses and remissions, and occurs as one of six variants. The reticular and erosive types of the LP are the most common in the OCML [14]. The etiological factors of the disease have not yet been discovered, although it is known that it is based on an autoimmune mechanism [20, 22].

Causes may include bad habits, somatic pathology [19, 24], menopause, de-

pression and stress [10, 22]. The lesions are most often prevalent in females, the location on the OCML is symmetrical, and the buccal mucosa is involved in 28.1% of cases [18].

When diagnosing pathologic processes on the oral cavity mucosa lining in the form of white and/or erosive and ulcerative elements, it is necessary to screen for cancer alertness. Due to the similarity of clinical manifestations, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish benign white lesions from their pre-malignant or malignant analogues [1, 17], and the risk

of malignant transformation of the erosive and ulcerative form of the LP in the OCML according to the data represented by Iocca O. et al. (2020) is extremely low, that is 1.4% - 10% [15], the treatment and preventive measures of this form are not always effective [11].

Modern approaches to the diagnosis of pathology of the oral cavity mucosa lining include the use of various methods aimed at early screening of inflammation, as well as malignization [7]. The Buccal Micronucleus Cytome Assay (BMN Assay) provides a platform to identify individuals at high risk of malignancy by assessing markers of nuclear damage at the earliest microinvasive stage possible [23]. Histopathological examination of the involved tissue is a prerequisite for any type of OCML changes. In the research, chromosomal aberrations in the form of micronucleated cells were detected in patients with the presence of Lichen Planus clinical findings with localization on the OCML [12].

Thus, cytologic examination in cancer diagnosis of OCML pathology becomes important for choosing a rational treatment regimen. This paper considers the diagnostic criteria of the erosive and ulcerative form (EUF) of the LP in the OCML based on cytologic examination of buccal epithelium.

The goal of research is to assess the frequency of cells with nuclear abnormalities in the buccal cytogram obtained from the reticular mesh surface, hyperemia area and erosive and ulcerative elements in patients with the erosive and ulcerative form of the Lichen Planus in the oral cavity mucosa lining before and after local treatment.

Materials and methods of research. This two-center, prospective observational non-controlled study was conducted with the effective collaboration of two dermatovenerology centers of Ufa and Omsk. Patients were selected on the basis of discrete clinical features: the presence of prominent linear or reticular papules, erosive and ulcerative elements, and the presence of high titers of *Candida* yeast-like fungi in the oral microbiota. The record of complex dental examination included an assessment of the clinical state of the oral cavity mucosa lining, the presence of typical papules and/or erosive and ulcerative elements on the cheek mucosa, which made it possible to make a diagnosis according to ICD-10 as the erosive and ulcerative form (EUF) (L43.82) and typical (L43.80) form of the LP in the OCML. Consent for the histologic study was obtained from each patient and his/her attendants. The study

was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher Education Bashkir State Medical University (FSBEI HE BSMU) of the Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation (Order No. 11 dated December 17, 2019).

All patients with the EUF of the LP (L43.82) in the OCML ($n=86$) were divided into two main clinical groups. In the composition of microbiota of erosive and ulcerative elements in patients of I ($n=46$) and II ($n=40$) main clinical groups there is a difference in the quantitative content of *Candida* spp.: in 26.08% of cases the amount from 3.0 to 5.0 Lg CFU/u, and in 47.5% of cases from 4.0-6.0 Lg CFU/u respectively.

The main clinical groups were divided into equal clinical subgroups Ia ($n=23$), IIa ($n=23$), Ib ($n=20$), IIb ($n=20$). The developed, implemented and patented complex of local treatment was used [6] (described below) for the main Ia and IIa clinical subgroups, and in the main Ib and IIb clinical subgroups the method of treatment according to the federal clinical recommendations [3] was used as a comparison. The comparison group included 25 patients with the typical form of the LP (L43.80) in the OCML and was a relative criterion of norm in the whole period of dynamic observation.

Local treatment of the EUF (L43.82) of the LP in the OCML included the use of ozone therapy on the Prozone device, applications of 0.2% hyaluronic acid gel (Hy + Al Gel), 0.5% Prednisolone ointment and Ora-Aid self-dissolving patch, which together have antiseptic, anti-candida, and anti-inflammatory properties increasing the rate of epithelialization and promoting mucosal regeneration. Erosive and ulcerative elements on the mucosa lining were treated using a preparation containing a broad-spectrum antiseptic, that is cetalkonium chloride (1 application, duration: 60 sec., 7 days), ozone therapy with the Prozone device (7 procedures, exposure duration: 6 sec., mucosa distance: 1-2 mm), as well as treatment of their surface with 0.5% Prednisolone ointment (3 times a day, 7 days). At home, the patients independently dried the mucosal surface and closed it with Ora-Aid self-adhesive patch 3 times a day until its complete dissolution, having anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties due to the active substance, that is cetalkonium chloride accelerating epithelialization and mucosal regeneration due to vitamin E, 3 times a day for 6 days.

The efficacy of the local treatment complex in patients with the EUF of the LP in the OCML was assessed based

on the leveling of subjective perceptions (burning, tingling and tension), changes in the composition of microbiota of the surface of erosive and ulcerative elements and pH of oral fluid. In the comparison group with a typical form of the LP in the OCML, dynamic observation was carried out, including correction of oral cavity hygiene and sanitation, and elimination of traumatic factors.

Before and after local treatment (on average on day 21), we conducted a comparative cytologic study of the composition of buccal epithelium obtained by scraping with a wooden spatula from the cheek mucosa in the area of Wickham's reticular mesh (Wickham's striae) and/or the area of erosive and ulcerative elements. The level of cytoplasmic and karyological abnormalities was assessed in buccal epithelial cells. Then the following cells in the buccal cytogram were calculated: those with micronuclei, protrusions, binuclear cells (including those with twin nuclei), with chromatin condensation, karyorrhexis, karyopyknosis, karyolysis, and apoptotic corpuscles. Material for buccal epithelium examination was sampled on the first day of the study and at the end of local treatment on day 21.

Romanowsky-Giemsa staining was used for coloring. Isolated cells were selected and photographed. From 100 to 1000 isolated cells with continuous edges were analyzed. The preparations were examined at x630 magnification with immersion on a Leica DM 2500 microscope (Germany) (Fig. 1-4).

Based on the sample size, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test was used to match the differences between the hyperemia area and the area of erosive and ulcerative elements, which was a justifiable criterion in the context of small group size (<160 observations). For intragroup comparisons before and after local treatment, the nonparametric Wilcoxon test applied for comparison of dependent samples was used. It was considered that there were statistically significant differences in the data of the indicators of cytograms studied of buccal epithelium if the p-level of rejection of the null hypothesis was less than 0.05. The statistics and their corresponding significance levels were calculated using the 'stats' library of the R open source statistical computing environment (version 4.3.1).

Research results and discussion.

The statistical analysis of nuclear aberrations in the form of cytogenetic abnormalities, indicators of destruction completion, and nuclear proliferation in the cytogram of buccal epithelium obtained from the

Table 1

Dynamics of the frequency of cells with nuclear abnormalities in the cytogram of buccal epithelium (from the reticular mesh and hyperemia area) in patients of I and II main clinical subgroups before and after the complex of local treatment

Indicators	Ia main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (suggested treatment) (n=23)	Ib main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (treatment according to federal clinical recommendations)) (n=23)	Ila main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (suggested treatment) (n=20)	Ilb main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (treatment according to federal clinical recommendations)) (n=20)	Comparison group. typical form of the LP in the OCML (n=25)
1	2	3	4	5	6
Comparisons: Comparisons between groups Ia. Ib. Ila. Ilb and a comparison group before treatment according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p). intergroup comparisons between group Ia and Ib. Ila and Ilb before treatment according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p_1). intergroup comparisons between group Ia. Ib. Ila and Ilb after treatment and a comparison group according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p_2). intra-group comparisons according to the Wilcoxon test for Ia. Ib. Ila and Ilb subgroups before treatment and after treatment (p_3). intergroup comparisons between group Ia and Ib. Ila and Ilb after treatment according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p_4).					
Cytogenetic abnormalities					
Micronucleus before treatment	2.16±0.37 $p=0.225$	2.12±0.23 $p=0.289$	2.18±0.37 $p=0.218$	2.27±0.25 $p=0.204$	1.82±0.47
	$Z=0.245, p_1=0.806$		$Z=0.256, p_1=0.798$		
Micronucleus after treatment	1.91±0.09 $p_2=0.565, p_3=0.183$	2.17±0.23 $p_2=0.276, p_3=0.456$	1.85±0.15 $p_2=0.865, p_3=0.423$	2.19±0.16 $p_2=0.233, p_3=0.827$	
	$Z=0.938, p_4=0.348$		$Z=1.138, p_4=0.255$		
Micronucleus protrusion before treatment	1.10±0.05 $p=0.149$	0.92±0.34 $p=0.274$	1.19±0.45 $p=0.254$	1.17±0.36 $p=0.247$	0.75±0.35
	$Z=0.650, p_1=0.516$		$Z=0.150, p_1=0.881$		
Micronucleus protrusion after treatment	0.81±0.19 $p_2=0.615, p_3=0.189$	0.93±0.07 $p_2=0.487, p_3=0.934$	0.79±0.23. $p_2=0.891, p_3=0.401$	0.93±0.05. $p_2=0.435, p_3=0.455$	
	$Z=0.357, p_4=0.721$		$Z=0.877, p_4=0.384$		
(Tongue-type) Micronucleus protrusion before treatment	0.43±0.17 $p=0.163$	0.37±0.15 $p=0.101$	0.43±0.17 $p=0.163$	0.85±0.11 $p=0.201$	0.69±0.11
	$Z=0.215, p_1=0.829$		$Z=1.178, p_1=0.239$		
(Tongue-type) Micronucleus protrusion after treatment	0.66±0.24 $p_2=0.799, p_3=0.207$	0.71±0.29 $p_2=0.786, p_3=0.160$	0.68±0.40 $p_2=0.898, p_3=0.417$	0.71±0.23 $p_2=0.842, p_3=0.472$	
	$Z=0.182, p_4=0.856$		$Z=0.384, p_4=0.701$		
Indicators of nucleus destruction completion					
Karyopyknosis before treatment	6.03±0.47 $p=0.812$	5.87±0.41 $p=0.741$	6.43±0.40 $p=0.399$	6.40±0.33 $p=0.316$	6.03±0.17
	$Z=0.420, p_1=0.674$		$Z=0.209, p_1=0.834$		
Karyopyknosis after treatment	6.02±0.06 $p_2=0.982, p_3=0.941$	6.32±0.28 $p_2=0.453, p_3=0.234$	5.99±0.03* $p_2=0.822, p_3=0.254$	6.18±0.06 $p_2=0.259, p_3=0.804$	
	$Z=0.420, p_4=0.674$		$Z=1.972, p_4=0.049$		
Karyorrhexis before treatment	2.95±0.34 $p=0.806$	2.94±0.27 $p=0.814$	3.85±0.14' $p=0.009$	3.67±0.21 $p=0.066$	2.93±0.26
	$Z=0.193, p_1=0.847$		$Z=0.706, p_1=0.480$		
Karyorrhexis after treatment	2.39±0.31 $p_2=0.209, p_3=0.199$	2.69±0.31 $p_2=0.498, p_3=0.494$	2.89±0.06*** $p_2=0.877, p_3<0.001$	2.85±0.05*** $p_2=0.846, p_3<0.001$	
	$Z=0.654, p_4=0.513$		$Z=0.551, p_4=0.582$		
Karyolysis before treatment	0.52±0.30 $p=0.910$	0.57±0.31 $p=0.912$	0.85±0.10 $p=0.096$	0.82±0.20 $p=0.108$	0.55±0.14
	$Z=0.111, p_1=0.912$		$Z=0.091, p_1=0.927$		
Karyolysis after treatment	0.56±0.12 $p_2=0.977, p_3=0.895$	0.67±0.15 $p_2=0.569, p_3=0.589$	0.56±0.13* $p_2=0.932, p_3=0.048$	0.72±0.15 $p_2=0.132, p_3=0.299$	
	$Z=1.202, p_4=0.229$		$Z=1.389, p_4=0.165$		

Окончание табл. 1

Indicators of nuclear proliferation					
1	2	3	4	5	6
Nucleus notching before treatment	4.67±0.55 <i>p</i> =0.802	4.41±0.42 <i>p</i> =0.765	4.67±0.15 <i>p</i> =0.741	4.96±0.05 <i>p</i> =0.126	4.51±0.49
	<i>Z</i> =1.655, <i>p</i> ₁ =0.098		<i>Z</i> =1.603, <i>p</i> ₁ =0.109		
Nucleus notching after treatment	4.55±0.13 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.888, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.731	4.64±0.26 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.707, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.567	4.49±0.02 [*] <i>p</i> ₂ =0.913, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.109	4.71±0.09 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.798, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.099	
	<i>Z</i> =0.330, <i>p</i> ₄ =0.741		<i>Z</i> =1.958, <i>p</i> ₄ =0.05		
Apoptotic Index (AI)					
Amount of abnormalities of the AI before treatment	17.86±2.25 <i>p</i> =0.811	17.21±2.13 <i>p</i> =0.901	19.57±1.78 <i>p</i> =0.420	20.14±1.51 <i>p</i> =0.199	17.28±1.73
	<i>Z</i> =0.405, <i>p</i> ₁ =0.685		<i>Z</i> =0.331, <i>p</i> ₁ =0.741		
Amount of abnormalities of the AI after treatment	16.90±1.14 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.309, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.405	18.13±1.59 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.367, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.355	17.25±0.66 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.908, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.211	18.29±0.79 <i>p</i> ₂ =0.261, <i>p</i> ₃ =0.349	
	<i>Z</i> =1.116, <i>p</i> ₄ =0.264		<i>Z</i> =1.715, <i>p</i> ₄ =0.086		

Note: [†] - differences before treatment are statistically significant as compared to the comparison group indicators at $p<0.01$; ^{*}, ^{**}, ^{***} - differences after treatment are statistically significant as compared to pre-treatment indicators at significance level $p<0.1$, $p<0.05$, $p<0.01$ and $p<0.001$ respectively; [&], ^{&&}, ^{&&&} - differences are statistically significant after treatment between the main Ia and Ib, IIa and IIb clinical subgroups at $p<0.1$, $p<0.05$ and $p<0.001$ respectively.

surface of the reticular mesh and the hyperemia area showed that significant differences before the local treatment complex between typical and erosive and ulcerative forms of the Lichen Planus in the oral cavity mucosa lining were observed only in the indicator of completion of nuclear destruction, manifested in the disintegration of the cell nucleus into parts, and these manifestations are more evident in the II main clinical group with the predominance of *Candida spp.* in the oral microbiota (Table 1).

Local treatment provided using the developed method or according to clinical recommendations did not reveal statistical differences ($p>0.1$) in the frequency of cells with nuclear abnormalities in the cytogram of buccal epithelium in patients with erosive and ulcerative and typical forms of the LP in the OCML.

As a result of the local treatment, the cytograms of buccal epithelium showed a dynamic decrease in the frequency of cells with disintegration of part of the nucleus obtained from patients of the II main clinical group ($p<0.001$). For patients with predominance of high titers of *Candida spp.* in the oral microbiota, the developed complex of the local treatment contributed to a significant decrease in the occurrence of cells with a degenerative change of the nucleus ($p<0.05$) in the cytogram of buccal epithelium obtained from the area of the reticular mesh and the hyperemia area. The developed method of local treatment in this category of patients also contributed to a more significant decrease in the frequency of cells

with nuclear proliferation in the form of notches in the cytogram of buccal epithelium as compared to the group of patients treated according to clinical recommendations ($p=0.05$) (Table 1).

In general, according to the results of calculation of the amount of apoptotic index aberrations in the cytogram of buccal epithelium obtained from the surface of the reticular mesh and the hyperemia area, its value after treatment with the developed method was significantly lower at the level $p<0.1$ as compared to the treatment according to the federal clinical recommendations. The dynamics of stabilization of the amount of the apoptotic index aberrations in the cytogram of buccal epithelium is more evident in patients with the prevalence of high titers of *Candida spp.* in the oral microbiota (Table 1).

The analysis of cytograms of buccal epithelium before and after local treatment showed that the treatment contributed to significant differences in the index of nucleus destruction, manifested in the disintegration of the cell nucleus into parts for the first and second main clinical subgroups regardless of the method applied, but for the patients of the II main clinical group with predominance of high titers of *Candida spp.* in the oral microbiota the effect was more pronounced ($p<0.001$). The effect of the developed method of local treatment was a criterion for the decrease in the frequency of nucleus destruction in the cytogram of buccal epithelium, manifested in the disintegration of the cell nucleus into parts as compared to the treatment according

to clinical recommendations: for patients of the I main clinical subgroup at $p<0.05$, for patients of the II main clinical group at $p<0.001$.

The greatest effect of the developed method of local treatment was observed in patients of the IIa main clinical subgroup with predominance of high titers of *Candida spp.* in the oral microbiota. In the cytogram of buccal epithelium obtained after local treatment, the frequency of cells with micronuclei significantly decreased ($p<0.01$), and the frequency of degenerative change of the nucleus decreased at $p<0.05$.

In Ia and IIa clinical subgroups of patients with *Candida spp.* detected in the oral microbiota, in the cytogram of buccal epithelium there was observed a decrease in the frequency of a cytogenetic indicator in the form of micronucleus protrusion (at $p<0.1$), nucleus notching (at $p<0.01$), and these indicators when applying the developed method of local treatment are more significant (at $p<0.1$ and at $p<0.001$ respectively) as compared to the treatment according to clinical recommendations.

The decrease in the frequency of occurrence of the index of nuclear destruction completion in the cytogram of buccal epithelium was achieved only in the group of patients with predominance of *Candida spp.* in the oral microbiota and those who obtained the developed method of local treatment (IIa subgroup) at the significance level $p<0.1$.

When calculating the amount of the abnormalities of the apoptotic index, its

Table 2

Dynamics of changes in the frequency of cells with nuclear abnormalities in the cytogram of buccal epithelium (from the surface of erosive and ulcerative elements) in patients of I and II clinical subgroups with the erosive and ulcerative form (L43.82) of the Lichen Planus (LP) in the oral cavity mucosa lining (OCML) before and after the treatment

Indicators	Ia main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (suggested treatment) (n=23)	Ib main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (treatment according to federal clinical recommendations) (n=23)	Ila main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (suggested treatment) (n=20)	Ilb main clinical subgroup of the EUF of the LP in the OCML (treatment according to federal clinical recommendations)) (n=20)
Comparisons: Intergroup comparisons between group Ia and Ib, Ila and Ilb according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p_1), intra-group comparisons according to the Wilcoxon test for subgroups Ia and Ib, Ila and Ilb before treatment and after treatment (p_2), intergroup comparisons between group Ia and Ib, Ila and Ilb before treatment according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p_3).				
Cytogenetic abnormalities				
Micronucleus before treatment	2.35±0.25	2.33±0.18	2.92±0.17	2.84±0.19
	$Z=0.134, p_1=0.893$		$Z=0.206, p_1=0.837$	
Micronucleus after treatment	1.84±0.09, $p_2=0.204$	2.05±0.13, $p_2=0.223$	1.99±0.14 ^{*,&} , $p_2=0.002$	2.54±0.09, $p_2=0.199$
	$Z=1.007, p_3=0.314$		$Z=2.095, p_3=0.036$	
Micronucleus protrusion before treatment	1.18±0.32	1.24±0.28	1.27±0.26	1.19±0.25
	$Z=0.201, p_1=0.841$		$Z=0.245, p_1=0.806$	
Micronucleus protrusion after treatment	0.74±0.05, $p_2=0.187$	0.79±0.04, $p_2=0.202$	0.77±0.06, ^{&} , $p_2=0.055$	0.98±0.06, $p_2=0.093$
	$Z=0.177, p_3=0.860$		$Z=1.945, p_3=0.052$	
(Tongue-type) Micronucleus protrusion before treatment	0.64±0.04	0.60±0.07	0.95±0.05	0.85±0.11
	$Z=0.145, p_1=0.885$		$Z=1.178, p_1=0.239$	
(Tongue-type) Micronucleus protrusion after treatment	0.68±0.02, $p_2=0.877$	0.68±0.03, $p_2=0.819$	0.70±0.04 ^{**} , $p_2=0.004$	0.68±0.05, $p_2=0.220$
	$Z=0.024, p_3=0.981$		$Z=0.181, p_3=0.856$	
Indicators of nucleus destruction completion				
Karyopyknosis before treatment	6.51±0.39	6.67±0.40	6.90±0.33	6.89±0.28
	$Z=0.311, p_1=0.756$		$Z=0.108, p_1=0.914$	
Karyopyknosis after treatment	6.14±0.06, $p_2=0.314$	6.29±0.05, $p_2=0.345$	6.18±0.06, ^{&} , $p_2=0.078$	6.44±0.07, $p_2=0.312$
	$Z=1.412, p_3=0.158$		$Z=1.962, p_3=0.049$	
Karyorrhexis before treatment	4.06±0.28	4.18±0.21	5.67±0.21	5.79±0.18
	$Z=0.821, p_1=0.412$		$Z=0.760, p_1=0.447$	
Karyorrhexis after treatment	3.14±0.08 ^{*,&} , $p_2=0.009$	3.59±0.08 [*] , $p_2=0.05$	3.35±0.09 ^{***,&&&} , $p_2<0.001$	4.39±0.16 ^{***} , $p_2<0.001$
	$Z=2.212, p_3=0.027$		$Z=3.451, p_3<0.001$	
Karyolysis before treatment	0.72±0.15	0.71±0.10	1.08±0.13	1.04±0.14
	$Z=0.094, p_1=0.921$		$Z=0.181, p_1=0.856$	
Karyolysis after treatment	0.64±0.06, $p_2=0.377$	0.66±0.07, $p_2=0.404$	0.72±0.08 [*] , $p_2=0.011$	0.89±0.06, $p_2=0.211$
	$Z=0.164, p_3=0.869$		$Z=1.093, p_3=0.274$	
Indicators of nuclear proliferation				
Nucleus notching before treatment	4.62 ± 0.09	4.70±0.07	5.16±0.09	5.27±0.08
	$Z=1.003, p_1=0.316$		$Z=1.062, p_1=0.288$	
Nucleus notching after treatment	4.55±0.07, $p_2=0.234$	4.59±0.07, $p_2=0.310$	4.65±0.07 ^{***,&&&} , $p_2<0.001$	5.03±0.04 [*] , $p_2=0.045$
	$Z=0.217, p_3=0.828$		$Z=3.319, p_3<0.001$	
Apoptotic Index (AI)				
Amount of abnormalities of the AI before treatment	20.08±1.52	20.43±1.31	23.95±1.24	23.87±1.23
	$Z=0.211, p_1=0.833$		$Z=0.205, p_1=0.838$	
Amount of abnormalities of the AI after treatment	17.73±0.43 ^{&} , $p_2=0.234$	18.65±0.47, $p_2=0.453$	18.36±0.54 ^{***,&} , $p_2<0.001$	20.95±0.53, $p_2=0.069$
	$Z=1.678, p_3=0.093$		$Z=2.478, p_3=0.013$	

significant decrease after local treatment (at $p < 0.1$) was observed for the group of patients with candidiasis regardless of the method of treatment, but this effect was more pronounced with the developed complex (at $p < 0.001$), and its differences in significance after treatment in IIa and IIb subgroups reaching $p < 0.05$.

Thus, in patients with the EUF of the LP in the OCML the influence of the developed method of treatment contributed to the decrease in the frequency of micronucleus abnormalities in the cytogram of buccal epithelium, as well as in the indicators of nucleus destruction completion.

Discussion. During the examination of 139 male and female patients living in Ufa and Omsk, clinical manifestations of various forms of the Lichen Planus (typical, erosive and ulcerative, hyperkeratotic, bullous and atypical) were revealed on the oral cavity mucosa lining, the age of the patients varied from 31 to 60 years, 111 patients with the erosive and ulcerative (L43.82) form of the LP in the OCML ($n=86$) and typical form (L43.80) ($n=25$) were further clinically examined by selection, which contradicts the data obtained by Irani S. et al. [16].

There are several studies investigating the frequency of micronuclei in patients with the EUF (L43.82) of the LP in the OCML, but they only assess micronuclei. Analysis of cytogram data of buccal epithelium obtained from the area of the reticular mesh and erosive and ulcerative elements before treatment showed a statistically significant increase of cells with micronuclei by 1.42 times from the surface area of erosions and ulcers in the EUF (L43.82) of the LP than from the reticular mesh area in typical form of the LP (L43.80) in the OCML ($p < 0.05$). Our data are close to those obtained by Sanchez-Siles M. et al. [21].

According to the data of Serikova O. V. et al., in cytological preparations the total number of cells with abnormalities, as well as the frequency of occurrence of some nuclear aberrations (micronuclei, karyorrhexis, karyopyknosis) is higher in the EUF (L43.82) of the LP in the OCML, and the frequencies of occurrence of some indicators of nucleus destruction (karyolysis and perinuclear vacuoles) were lower than in the control group ($p < 0.05$). On the surface of the erosive and ulcerative elements, the number of cells with abnormalities prevailed as compared to the area without abnormalities ($p < 0.01$) [1, 2, 4]. In a study of patients with a clinical diagnosis of the EUF of the LP in the OCML, Buajeeb et al. (2007) also found a significantly increased frequency of micronuclei [9]. During clinical and laboratory

research we took into account the data of cytograms of buccal epithelium obtained in 86 patients with the EUF (L43.82) of the LP in the OCML. When analyzing the data of cytograms of the reticular mesh surface and hyperemia area before and after local treatment significant differences in the index of nuclear destruction completion were obtained, manifested in the disintegration of cell nucleus into parts, and the studied index was more obvious in patients of the II main clinical group with predominance of *Candida* spp. in the oral microbiota. The effect of the developed method of local treatment [6] was an important criterion contributing to the decrease in the frequency of nucleus destruction in the cytogram of buccal epithelium for patients of the I main clinical group at $p < 0.05$, and for patients of the II main clinical group at $p < 0.001$. In the cytogram of buccal epithelium the frequency of cells with karyological aberrations, that is micronuclei ($p < 0.01$), degenerative change of the nucleus at $p < 0.05$ significantly decreased. In cytograms obtained from the surface of erosive and ulcerative elements of patients of Ia and IIa clinical subgroups with *Candida* spp. detected in the oral microbiota, there was observed a decrease in the frequency of the cytogenetic index in the form of micronucleus protrusion (at $p < 0.1$), nucleus notching (at $p < 0.01$), and these indicators when applying the developed method of local treatment were more significant (at $p < 0.1$ and at $p < 0.001$ respectively), the decrease in the frequency of the index of nuclear destruction completion was achieved only in IIa clinical subgroup at significance level $p < 0.1$.

Manifestation of chronic inflammation on the oral cavity mucosa lining in the EUF (L43.82) of the LP mainly has signs of a macrophage response and is subjected to oxidative stress, which contributes to the production of endogenous reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, and their imbalance has a direct toxic effect on tissues. This induces a proliferative response, which in turn promotes genetic damage, increasing the degree of error probability in DNA replication by increasing genetic instability in it [13], which is consistent with our data.

Assessment and analysis of cytogenetic abnormalities, proliferation and apoptosis in the cytogram of buccal epithelium can be used as a differentiated approach in the diagnosis, and control of the course of various forms of the Lichen Planus in the oral cavity mucosa lining and adherence to the principles of cancer alertness [5].

Karyopyknosis, karyorrhexis and

karyolysis is the terminal stage of epitheliocyte destruction. The decrease in the number of these cells in the main clinical groups with the developed method of local treatment correlates with the decrease in the degree of hyperkeratosis and restoration of the structure of the oral mucosa.

Conclusion. The effect of the developed method of local treatment, including a complex of ozone therapy on the Prozone device, applications of 0.2% hyaluronic acid gel (Hy + Al Gel), 0.5% Prednisolone ointment and closure of the surface of erosive and ulcerative elements with Ora-Aid self-dissolving patch contributes to the decrease in the frequency of cells with proliferation of the nucleus in the form of notches, decreased frequency of cells with micronuclei ($p < 0.01$), degenerative changes of the nucleus ($p < 0.05$) as compared to the group of patients treated according to clinical recommendations ($p = 0.05$). In clinical subgroups of patients with prevalence of high titers of *Candida* spp. in the composition of the oral microbiota, the influence of the developed method contributed to the decrease in the frequency of micronucleus protrusion (at $p < 0.1$), nucleus notching (at $p < 0.01$), and the index of nuclear destruction completion ($p < 0.1$).

In conclusion, cytologic examination of buccal epithelium is a sensitive, non-invasive method that provides clear information on the status of epithelial cells, in particular their DNA damage, the proliferative potential of basal cells and cell death, which are considered basic principles of cancer alertness.

Thus, cytogenetic monitoring of patients with dermatosis is of special importance as it allows to control the dynamics of the frequency of occurrence in the cytogram of buccal epithelium of the indicator of nucleus destruction, that is karyopyknosis and karyorrhexis, and allows of their clinical observation in the process of local treatment and screening of the EUF (L43.82) of the LP in the OCML.

Reference

1. Serikova O.V., Kalaev V.N., Vasilieva A.Yu., Kalaeva E.A. Anomalii v kletkah bukkal'nogo epiteliya u bol'nyh krasnym ploskim lishaem slizistoj obolochki rta [Anomalies in cells of buccal epithelium in patients with lichen planus in a mucous oral sheath. Bulletin of new medical technologies. Electronic edition. 2019; 5: 7-14 (In Russ.).] DOI: 10.24411/2075-4094-2019-16383.
2. Kalaev V.N., Vasilyeva A.Yu., Serikova O.V., Shumilovich B.R., Kalaeva E.A. Vstrechae-most' yadernyh anomalij v kletkah bukkal'nogo epiteliya pacientok s krasnym ploskim lishaem [Occurrence of nuclear anomalies in the buccal

epithelium cells of women with oral lichen planus. Applied information aspects of medicine. 2020; 23(4): 51-59 (In Russ.).]

3. Olisova, O.Y., Chikin V.V., Mineeva A.A. Federal'nye klinicheskie rekomendatsii po vedeniyu bol'nyh krasnym ploskim lishaem [Federal clinical recommendations for the management of patients with red flat lice (In Russ.).]. Moscow, 2015;166 p.

4. Serikova O.V., Kalaev V.N., Vasilieva A.Yu. Issledovanie anomalij v kletkah bukkal'nogo epiteliya u pacientov s krasnym ploskim lishaem slizistoj obolochki rta i gub [Study of abnormalities in buccal epithelial cells in patients with red squamous lichen planus of the mucous membrane of the mouth and lips. Saratov Scientific and Medical Journal. 2019;15(3): 611-616 (In Russ.).]

5. Usmanova I.N., Gurievskaya O.A., Hismatullina Z.R. [et al.] Sposob differencial'noj diagnostiki krasnogo ploskogo lishaya slizistoj obolochki rta [Method of differential diagnosis of red squamous lichen planus of the oral mucosa. Patent for invention RU 2789238 C1, published 31.01.2023, Bulletin 4. (In Russ.).]

6. Usmanova I.N., Gurievskaya O.A., Hismatullina Z.R. [et al.] Sposob mestnogo lecheniya erozivno-yazvennoj formy ploskogo lishaya slizistoj obolochki rta [Method of local treatment of erosive and ulcerative form of squamous lichen planus of the oral mucosa. Patent RU 2790528 C1, published 22.02.2023, Bulletin No.6. (In Russ.).]

7. Poroisky S.B., Firsova I.V., Makedonova Yu. A. [et al.] Citologicheskij metod issledovaniya v diagnostike i lechenii krasnogo ploskogo lishaya slizistoj polosti rta [Cytological method of research in the diagnosis and treatment of lichen planus of the oral mucosa. Bulletin of Volgograd State Medical University.2019; 69(1):96-99 (In Russ.).]

8. Alrashdan M.S., Cirillo N., McCullough M. Oral lichen planus: a literature review and update. Arch Dermatol Res. 2016;308 (8):539 DOI: 10.1007/s00403-016-1667-2.

9. Buajeeb W, Kraivaphan P, Amornchat C. Frequency of micronucleated exfoliated cells in oral lichen planus. Mutat Res. 2007;627 (2):191-6 DOI: 10.1016/j.mrgentox.2006.10.010.

10. De Porras-Carrique T, González-Moles M.Á., Warnakulasuriya S. [et al.]. Depression, anxiety, and stress in oral lichen planus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Oral Investig. 2022;26(2):1391-1408 DOI: 10.1007/s00784-021-04114-0.

11. Poroisky S.V., Trigolos N.N., Makedonova J.A. [et al.]. Experimental morphologic study of reparative processes in erosive lesions of the oral mucosa. General Dentistry. 2018; 66 (4):5-9 PMID: 29964256.

12. Gambino A., Cabras M., Cafaro A. In-vivo usefulness of optical coherence tomography in atrophic-erosive oral lichen planus: Comparison between histopathological and ultrastructural findings. J. Photochem Photobiol B. 2020;211:112-009 DOI: 10.1016/j.jphotobiol. 2020.112009.

13. Golz L., Memmert S., Rath-Deschner B. LPS from P. gingivalis and hypoxia increases oxidative stress in periodontal ligament fibroblasts and contributes to periodontitis. Mediat. Inflamm. 2014;98:62-64 DOI: 10.1155/2014/986264.

14. Gotmare S.S., Gupta A.A., Waghmare M.A. Comparison of Proliferative Capacity of Reticular and Erosive Variants of Oral Lichen Planus by Argyrophilic Nucleolar Organizer Regions Method. Journal of Microscopy and Ultrastructure. 2023; 11(1):12-16 DOI: 10.4103/jmau. jmau_104_20.

15. Iocca O., Sollecito T.P., Alawi F., Weinstein G.S., Newman J.G., De Virgilio A. [et al.]. Potentially malignant disorders of the oral cavity and oral dysplasia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of malignant transformation rate by subtype. Head Neck. 2020;42(3):539-555 DOI: 10.1002/hed.26006.

16. Irani S., Esfahani A.M., Ghorbani A. Dysplastic change rate in cases of oral lichen planus: A retrospective study of 112 cases in an Iranian population. J. Oral Maxillofac Pathol.

2016;20(3):395-399 DOI: 10.4103/0973-029X.190911.

17. Jones K.B., Jordan R. White lesions in the oral cavity: clinical presentation, diagnosis, and treatment. Semin Cutan Med Surg. 2015;34(4):161-70 DOI: 10.12788/j.sder.2015.0180.

18. Lauritano D., Arrica M., Lucchese A. [et al.]. / Oral lichen planus clinical characteristics in Italian patients: a retrospective analysis. Head Face Med. 2016;12:18 DOI: 10.1186/s13005-016-0115-z.

19. Lavaee F., Majd M. / Evaluation of the Association between Oral Lichen Planus and Hypothyroidism: a Retrospective Comparative Study. J. Dent (Shiraz). 2016;17(1):38-42 PMID: 26966707; PMCID: PMC4771051.

20. Li C., Tang X., Zheng X. [et al.]. Global Prevalence and Incidence Estimates of Oral Lichen Planus: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Dermatol. 2020;156(2):172-181 DOI: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2019.3797.

21. Sanchez-Siles M, Ros-Llor I., Camacho-Alonso F. A novel application of the buccal micronucleus cytome assay in oral lichen planus: a pilot study. Arch Oral Biol. 2011;56(10):1148-53 DOI: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2011.02.019.

22. Varghese S.S., George G.B., Sarojini S.B. [et al.]. Epidemiology of Oral Lichen Planus in a Cohort of South Indian Population: A Retrospective Study. Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2016; 21(1): 55-59. DOI: 10.15430/JCP.2016.21.1.55.

23. Vidyalakshmi S., Nirmal R.M., Veeravarmal V., Santhadevi A., Aravindhan R., Sumathy. Buccal micronuclei assay as a tool for biomonitoring DNA damage in oral lichen planus. J. Clin Diagn Res. 2016; 10(7):5-7. DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2016/17074.8072.

24. Zhou T., Li D., Chen Q., Hua H., Li C. Correlation Between Oral Lichen Planus and Thyroid Disease in China: A Case-Control Study. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2018; 9: 330. DOI:10.3389/fendo.2018.00330

HEALTHY LIFESTYLE. PREVENTION

T.A. Bayanova, Z.A. Zaikova

CHICKENPOX AND HERPES ZOSTER: DYNAMICS OF INCIDENCE AND APPROACHES TO PREVENTION

DOI 10.25789/YMJ.2023.84.11

УДК [616.914+616.834-002.152]-039.3-084

The dynamics of the incidence of chickenpox and herpes zoster in the population of the Irkutsk region and the city of Irkutsk against the background of ongoing selective vaccination was studied. A descriptive epidemiological study was conducted according to state statistical reporting based on materials from the Irkutsk region and the city of Irkutsk for 2013-2022. The calculation of predictive values was made using regression equations, the statistical significance of differences in intensive indicators was assessed by 95% CI. Against the background of a decrease in the

incidence of chickenpox, in 2021-2022. There was an increase in the indicator among different age groups of the population, the most significant among children under 6 years of age. According to the forecast, in 2023 the incidence rate will not change significantly. Selective vaccination tactics do not significantly affect the incidence of chickenpox ($p = -0.217$; -0.7 ; $p > 0.05$). The incidence of shingles in the region exceeds the national level. Taking into account the continuing epidemiological trouble for chicken pox in the region and the data of forecast calculations, it is necessary to adjust the existing vaccination programs, including gradually expanding the contingents to be vaccinated.

Keywords: chicken pox, shingles, incidence, vaccination.

BAYANOVA Tatyana Aleksandrovna – Associate Professor, Department of Epidemiology, Irkutsk State Medical University, Ministry of Health of Russia, PhD, ORCID: 0000-0003-4289-3460; e-mail: bayanova_tanya@mail.ru; **ZAikova Zoya Aleksandrovna** – Associate Professor of the Department of General Hygiene, Irkutsk State Medical University of the Ministry of Health of Russia, Ph.D, ORCID:0000-0001-8104-4264; e-mail: zaikovazoya@mail.ru

Introduction. Chickenpox and herpes zoster (HZ) are two independent and at

the same time different in clinical manifestations nosological forms, the etiolog-